

Rochester Institute of Technology RIT Scholar Works

Articles

1998

Upper bounds for some Ramsey numbers $R(3, k)$

Stanislaw Radziszowski

Donald Kreher

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarworks.rit.edu/article>

Recommended Citation

The Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing 4 (1988) 207 - 212

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by RIT Scholar Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of RIT Scholar Works. For more information, please contact ritscholarworks@rit.edu.

Upper Bounds for Some Ramsey Numbers $R(3,k)$

STANISŁAW P. RADZISZOWSKI*

and

DONALD L. KREHER*

School of Computer Science and Technology
Rochester Institute of Technology
Rochester, NY 14623

ABSTRACT

Using several computer algorithms we calculate some values and bounds for the function $e(3,k,n)$, the minimum number of edges in a triangle-free graphs on n vertices with no independent set of size k . As a consequence, the following new upper bounds for the classical two color Ramsey numbers are obtained: $R(3,10) \leq 43$, $R(3,11) \leq 51$, $R(3,12) \leq 60$, $R(3,13) \leq 69$ and $R(3,14) \leq 78$.

1. Introduction

The two color Ramsey number $R(l,k)$ is defined to be the smallest integer n , such that any graph on n vertices contains either a clique of size l or an independent set of size k . In this paper we consider only the case $l=3$. A $(3,k,n,e)$ -graph is a triangle-free graph on n vertices with e edges and no independent set of size k . Similarly, a $(3,k)$ - or $(3,k,n)$ -graph is a $(3,k,n,e)$ -graph for some n and e . Let $e(3,k,n)$ be the minimum number of edges in any $(3,k,n)$ -graph and define it to be ∞ if no such graph exists. Any $(3,k,n,e)$ -graph is called a *minimum graph* if $e=e(3,k,n)$. The following formula was established in [4] for $k \geq 4$:

$$e(3,k+1,n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \leq k, \\ n-k & \text{if } k < n \leq 2k, \\ 3n-5k & \text{if } 2k < n \leq 5k/2, \\ 5n-10k & \text{if } 5k/2 < n \leq 3k. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Recently in [5], we also proved that

$$e(3,k+1,n) \geq 6n-13k \text{ for all } k, n \geq 1, \quad (2)$$

and the equality holds in (2) for all $3k \leq n \leq 13k/4 - \text{sign}(k \bmod 4)$.

If G is a $(3,k,n,e)$ -graph and n_i denotes the number of vertices of degree i in G then by proposition 4 in [1] we have

$$ne - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} n_i(e(3,k-1,n-i-1) + i^2) \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

where $n = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} n_i$ and $2e = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} i \cdot n_i$.

Equation (1) and inequalities (2) and (3) form the starting points of several computer algorithms we have implemented for the evaluation of bounds and sometimes exact values of the function $e(3,k,n)$ for $n \geq 13(k-1)/4$. Section 2 presents the progress we have done in the case $k=8$. Five new upper bounds for the classical two color Ramsey numbers $R(3,k)$, for $10 \leq k \leq 14$, are obtained by iterative application of inequality (3) to the results given in section 2. These new upper bounds are reported in section 3.

* Both authors were supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant CCR-8711229

2. $e(3,8,n)$

The values of $e(3,8,n)$ for $n \leq 21$ are given by equation (1). Grinstead and Roberts [2] proved that $28 \leq R(3,8) \leq 29$, $R(3,9)=36$ and established lower and upper bounds for $e(3,8,n)$, $26 \leq n \leq 28$. Using the techniques described in [1,2] we have developed several computer algorithms [4] for searching for $(3,k,n)$ -graphs. The bounds and the exact values of $e(3,8,n)$ calculated by these algorithms are displayed in table I, together with the previous lower and upper bounds found in [2]. The bound $e(3,8,28) \leq 98$ is true under the assumption that $R(3,8)=29$, otherwise $e(3,8,28)=\infty$.

n	this paper	Grinstead & Roberts
22	42	
23	49	
24	56	
25	65	
26	73	71-74
27	83-85	81-87
28	94-98	90-98

Table I. Bounds and values of $e(3,8,n)$, $n \geq 22$.

To establish each of the lower bounds of the form $e(3,8,n) > e$ well tuned implementations of the algorithms described in [2,4] were used. In [4] an example of a typical procedure was given that can be followed to find all $(3,k,n)$ -graphs for a given k and n . This technique requires the previous knowledge of all $(3,k-1,\bar{n},\bar{e})$ -graphs for \bar{n} and \bar{e} ranging over some (hopefully small) set S of values, where S can be determined by the method of Graver and Yackel [1]. Using this method to obtain the lower bounds presented in table I, it is sufficient to know the following graphs:

- all $(3,6)$ -graphs,
- all minimum $(3,7)$ -graphs,
- all $(3,7,22)$ -graphs,
- all $(3,7,n,e)$ -graphs for $n \geq 18$ and $e = e(3,7,n)+1$,
- all $(3,7,21,53)$ -graphs.

The construction of the graphs specified in (a), (b) and (c) was reported in [4]. By using the data base of all $(3,6)$ -graphs we were able to build all the graphs in (d) and (e). For (d), the number of $(3,7,n,e)$ -graphs is 15, 417, 479 and 70 for $n = 18, 19, 20, 21$ and $e = 31, 38, 45$ and 52, respectively. The number of $(3,7,21,53)$ -graphs is 717. Somewhat surprisingly, the lower bound hardest to obtain by this method was $e(3,8,25) \geq 65$. It required about 250 hours of CPU time on a VAX780. Perhaps this is connected to the unique known so far irregularity of the form $e(3,k,n+1) - e(3,k,n) < e(3,k,n) - e(3,k,n-1)$, which occurs in this case, $k=8$ and $n=25$. For all others known exact values of $e(3,k,n)$ the latter inequality is false.

The upper bounds for $e(3,8,n)$, $n = 22, 23$ and 24 are achieved by the construction of $(3,8,22,42)$ -, $(3,8,23,49)$ - and $(3,8,24,56)$ -graphs by applying consecutively corollary 6 of [1] three times to the unique minimum $(3,8,21,35)$ -graph presented in [4]. Remaining upper bounds are established by examples of $(3,8,25,65)$ -, $(3,8,26,73)$ - and $(3,8,27,85)$ -graphs, which are described in the appendix.

From the result of Grinstead and Roberts [2], $R(3,8)=29$ if and only if there exists a $(3,8,28)$ -graph. Using the algorithms mentioned above and some relatively simple reasoning, we have established that any $(3,8,28)$ -graph $G=(V,E)$ can have only vertices of degree 6 and 7. Thus there are only 5 possible degree sequences for G , one for each number of edges $94 \leq |E| \leq 98$, i.e. G has s vertices of degree 6 and $28-s$ vertices of degree 7, where $0 \leq s \leq 8$ and s is even.

We would like to point out, that further improvement of bounds in table I and calculation of $R(3,8)$ by the same method cannot be obtained unless a very powerful machine is run using probably prohibitively long time.

3. New Upper Bounds

One of the most fruitful ideas used so far to obtain upper bounds for $R(3,k)$ is the calculation of good lower bounds for $e(3,k,n)$ [1,2,3]. We also exploit this approach.

The exact values of $e(3,k,n)$, for $n \leq 13(k-1)/4 - \text{sign}((k-1) \bmod 4)$, are given by equations (1) and (2). The values of $e(3,k,n)$, for $k \leq 7$ and all possible n , are listed in [4], and the case $k=8$ was discussed in the previous section. For other parameter situations we proceed as follows. Inequality (3) together with simple analysis of the degree sequences produce reasonable lower bounds for $e(3,k+1,n)$ provided good lower bounds for $e(3,k,n-i)$, $0 < i \leq k$, can be given. This computation is essentially a simple case of integer linear programming [4]. Table II reports the results of such calculations, which were performed to obtain lower bounds for $e(3,k,n)$ with $9 \leq k \leq 13$ and $3k-1 \leq n$. We note that these results improve all of the lower bounds listed in [3].

The entries in table II preceded by a "t" are obtained by applying (2), in which cases they are larger than those obtained by using (3) only. The entries preceded by an "s" are also larger than the values obtained by (3) and in these cases a straightforward checking shows that no graphs can exist for any degree sequence solving (3) with a smaller number of edges. For example, one of the three solutions to (3) for a $(3,9,31,92)$ -graph is $n_6=29$ and $n_5=2$. This implies that there are at least $29=1 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 6$ edges adjacent to the neighbours of any vertex v of degree 5, and consequently also implies the existence of a $(3,8,25,x)$ -graph, for some $x \leq 63=92-29$. This is impossible since $e(3,8,25) \geq 65$. Also observe that at least three values in table II are exact, namely by (2) we have: $e(3,9,26)=52$, $e(3,13,38)=72$ and $e(3,13,39)=78$.

n	k				
	9	10	11	12	13
26	t 52				
27	59				
28	67				
29	75	t 57			
30	84	63			
31	s 93	70			
32	103	77	t 62		
33	114	85	68		
34	125	94	75		
35	136	103	81	t 67	
36		113	88	t 73	
37		123	96	79	
38		133	104	86	t 72
39		145	113	93	t 78
40		156	122	100	84
41		169	132	108	91
42		182	143	115	97
43			153	124	104
44			165	132	112
45			177	142	120
46			189	152	128
47			201	162	136
48			214	172	144

n	k				
	9	10	11	12	13
49			229	184	153
50			243	196	162
51				208	172
52				221	s 182
53				233	193
54				248	204
55				262	216
56				276	227
57				291	239
58				306	252
59				322	s 266
60					280
61					294
62					308
63					324
64					339
65					354
66					371
67					389
68					406

Table II. Lower bounds for $e(3,k,n)$ for $9 \leq k \leq 13$ and $3k-1 \leq n$.

If $n(k)$ is the row index in which the last entry of column k appears in table II, then (3) has no solution for any $n > n(k)$. Thus $R(3, k) \leq n(k) + 1$. The bound $R(3, 14) \leq 78$ is obtained similarly by checking that (3) has no solutions for $k=14$ and $n \geq 78$. Table III gives the new upper bounds together with the best previously known lower and upper bounds for $R(3, k)$, $10 \leq k \leq 14$.

k	lower bound	previous upper bound	new upper bound
10	39	44	43
11	46	54	51
12	49	63	60
13	58	73	69
14	64	84	78

Table III. Bounds for $R(3, k)$, $10 \leq k \leq 14$.

The lower bound $R(3, 14) \geq 64$ was established by Longani in 1985 (private communication), the upper bound $R(3, 10) \leq 44$ was given in 1968 by Walker [6]. All of the other lower and previous upper bounds in table III were derived by Kalbfleisch in 1966 [3].

Appendix

We have found 396 nonisomorphic minimum $(3, 8, 25, 65)$ -graphs, 62 minimum $(3, 8, 26, 73)$ -graphs and 4 $(3, 8, 27, 85)$ -graphs, however possibly there are more of each of them.

- (a) The following minimum $(3, 8, 25, 65)$ -graph H_{25} has the largest group of automorphisms among groups of symmetries for all of these graphs. Its full automorphism group Γ of order 10 is isomorphic to the dihedral group on 5 symbols and is generated by permutations

$$\alpha_1 = (0\ 1\ 2\ 3\ 4)(5\ 6\ 7\ 8\ 9)(10\ 11\ 12\ 13\ 14)(15\ 16\ 17\ 18\ 19)(20\ 21\ 22\ 23\ 24),$$

$$\alpha_2 = (0)(1\ 4)(2\ 3)(5\ 14)(6\ 13)(7\ 12)(8\ 11)(9\ 10)(15\ 24)(16\ 23)(17\ 22)(18\ 21)(19\ 20).$$

The set of edges of H_{25} is the union of orbits of pairs under Γ , whose representatives are: $(0, 1)$, $(0, 10)$, $(0, 20)$, $(5, 10)$, $(5, 19)$, $(15, 17)$ and $(15, 20)$. The first orbit has length 5, all the others have length 10 which totals 65 edges. The orbit of singleton (5) forms 10 vertices of degree 4; the remaining 15 vertices have degree 6.

Since no graph with automorphism group larger than 3 was found for the parameter situations $(3, 8, 26, 73)$ and $(3, 8, 27, 85)$, we present examples of the corresponding graphs by their incidence matrices.

- (b) A $(3, 8, 26, 73)$ -graph with C_3 as a full automorphism group generated by permutation

$$\alpha = (0\ 4\ 2)(1\ 5\ 3)(6\ 9\ 10)(7\ 8\ 11)(12\ 15\ 16)(13\ 17\ 14)(18\ 22\ 20)(19\ 21\ 23)(24\ 25),$$

where the vertices are labeled from 0 to 25 according to the order of rows, is defined by the matrix below:

```

010100100000001010010000000
10001001001100000000010000
00010100001010100000100000
10100000110100000001000000
01000100010000001100001000
00101011100000000000000100
10000100000010100001010000
01000100000010100011000000
00010100000010100000110000
00011000000010100000101000
01100000000000001101000100
01010000000000001100100100
00100011000001000000001010
10000000110010000000100001
0010001100000000100001001
10000000110000000100100010
00001000001100100010000010
0000100000110001001000001
10000001000000001100010000
00010011001000000000100000
00100000000101010001000000
01000010110000000010000000
00001000100010100000000100
00000100011100000000001000
0000000000001001100000001
00000000000001100100000010

```

(c) A $(3,8,27,85)$ -graph with trivial automorphism group is defined by the following matrix:

```

000110101000000100100000010
000110101000000100010000001
000001011100000011000000010
110000000001110011000000000
110001000000010001000010100
001010000011000000000101001
110000000110010000000001100
001000000001110100100010000
111000000000101000001001000
0010001000000101000100110000
0000011000000101010011000000
000101010000001000011000100
00010001111000000000000100
000110110000001000000100000
000000001111010100000000000
110000010000001001000100000
001100000010000000100010100
001110000000000100001001000
100000010100000010010000001
010000000011000000100100010
000000001011000001000100001
000010001000101000111000000
000010010100000010000001010
000011010000000001000010000
000010100001100010000000000
1010000000000000000010010001
0100010000000000000101000010

```

References

- [1] J. E. Graver and J. Yackel, Some Graph Theoretic Results Associated with Ramsey's Theorem, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 4 (1968) 125-175.
- [2] C. Grinstead and S. Roberts, On the Ramsey Numbers $R(3,8)$ and $R(3,9)$, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory B*, 33 (1982) 27-51.
- [3] J. G. Kalbfleisch, Chromatic Graphs and Ramsey's Theorem, *Ph.D. thesis*, University of Waterloo, January 1966.
- [4] S. P. Radziszowski, D. L. Kreher, On $(3,k)$ Ramsey Graphs: Theoretical and Computational Results, *to appear*.
- [5] S. P. Radziszowski, D. L. Kreher, Minimum Triangle-Free Graphs, *to appear*.
- [6] K. Walker, Dichromatic Graphs and Ramsey Numbers, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 5 (1968) 238-243.